

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/619,682	07/15/2003	Sarah Elizabeth Witt	282536US8X	7074	
22850 7550 044902908 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			BRIER, JEFFERY A		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2628		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/30/2008	EI ECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/619.682 WITT ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Jeffery A. Brier 2628 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 February 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.3-8.16 and 17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1.3 and 6-8 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 4,5,16 and 17 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date ______.

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/619,682 Page 2

Art Unit: 2628

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 02/13/2008 has been entered.

Response to Amendment

2. The amendment filed on 01/11/2008 has been entered. The amendment of claim 1 with the limitations of claim 2 overcomes the 35 USC 103 rejection based upon Kitsutaka, US Patent No. 7,042,463. The amendment to claims 16 and 17 raise 35 USC 112 second paragraph issues set forth below. Claims 4 and 5 raise a further raise a 35 USC 112 second paragraph issue set forth below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 4, 5, 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/619,682 Page 3

Art Unit: 2628

Claim 4:

At line 2 "further comprising" is claimed while the processing in the horizontal

low-pass processing was not previously defined, thus, "further comprising" is unclear.

Claim 5:

Dependent claim 5 does not correct the "further comprising" issue of claim 4.

Claim 16:

At line 17 "said second processing" lacks antecedent basis in the claim.

Note that at line 20 "said second processing" will need to have the same changes made to the previous occurrence of "said second processing".

Claim 17:

At line 2 "to cause a computer to make a video processing apparatus" to perform the claimed process is claimed but does not clearly claim how the processing program makes a video processing apparatus perform the claimed process. Refer to applicants specification at page 17 lines 16-18 and page 14 lines 7-13.

Allowable Subject Matter

 Claims 1, 3, and 6-8 are allowed. Claims 4 and 5 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Application/Control Number: 10/619,682 Page 4

Art Unit: 2628

The prior art of record fails to teach or suggest the low-pass filtering of the result of the second processing and superposing the peripheral edge regions of the low-pass filtered image over the result of the second processing in the context of claim 1.

 Claims 16 and 17 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Claim 16:

The prior art of record fails to teach or suggest the low-pass filtering of the result of the processing of the third processing means at lines 13-16 and superposing the peripheral edge regions of the low-pass filtered image over the result of the processing of the third processing means at lines 13-16 in the context of claim 16.

Claim 17:

The prior art of record fails to teach or suggest the low-pass filtering of the result of the second processing and superposing the peripheral edge regions of the low-pass filtered image over the result of the second processing in the context of claim 17.

Conclusion

7. The following prior art has been cited as being of interest because they teach anti-aliasing that overdraws a filtered image with at least portions of the unfiltered image.

Hoppe et al, US Patent No. 6,919,906, and Donovan, US Patent No. 6,005,580.

Application/Control Number: 10/619,682 Art Unit: 2628

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffery A. Brier whose telephone number is (571) 272-7656. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 7:30 to 4:00. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Razavi, can be reached at (571) 272-7664. The fax phone Number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Jeffery A. Brier/ Primary Examiner, Division 2628